



MARKING GUIDE FOR ARBITRAL HEARINGS

Claimant: (Law School).....

First Oralist: Second Oralist.....

Respondent: (Law School).....

First Oralist: Second Oralist.....

Criteria	C1	C2	R1	R2
<u>Presentation Skills</u>				
Maintenance of eye contact				
Clear and confident speech				
Well modulated vocal tone and posture				
Good body language and posture	/10	/10	/10	/10
<u>Structure of Argument</u>				
Clear and logical structure				
Effective introduction and conclusion				
Clear and correct identification of issues				
Good use of time (prioritization of issues, respect for time limits)	/10	/10	/10	/10
<u>Content of Argument</u>				
Strength of legal argument				
Use of authorities				
Use of facts in record				
Relevance and persuasiveness of policy arguments	/10	/10	/10	/10
<u>Question answering</u>				
Understanding of questions				
Answers responsive to questions asked				
Integration of answers into argument				
Handling irrelevant or erroneous questions	/10	/10	/10	/10
<u>Etiquette and Teamwork</u>				
Proper introduction of self and other				
Respect for opposing counsel and tribunal				
Correct reference to exhibits, citations, authorities				
Effective communication with partner during argument	/10	/10	/10	/10
Totals	/50	/50	/50	/50

Total Score of Claimant = /100

Total Score of Respondent = /100 Signature.....

Marks are allocated on a scale of 25-50 points for each oralist
 (≤ 29 = low; 30-34 = average; 35-39 = good; 40-44 = very good; 45-50 = excellent)